

On the vacuum states for noncommutative gauge theory*

Axel de Goursac^{a,b}, Jean-Christophe Wallet^a, Raimar Wulkenhaar^b

^a*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Bât. 210
Université Paris XI, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France
e-mail: axelmg@melix.net, jean-christophe.wallet@th.u-psud.fr*

^b*Mathematisches Institut der Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität
Einsteinstraße 62, D-48149 Münster, Germany
e-mail: raimar@math.uni-muenster.de*

Abstract

Candidates for renormalisable gauge theory models on Moyal spaces constructed recently have non trivial vacua. We show that these models support vacuum states that are invariant under both global rotations and symplectic isomorphisms which form a global symmetry group for the action. We compute the explicit expression in the position space for these vacuum configurations in two and four dimensions.

*Work supported by ANR grant NT05-3-43374 “GenoPhy”.

1 Introduction.

A new family of noncommutative (NC) field theories [1, 2, 3] (For details on Non Commutative Geometry, see [4, 5]) came under increasing scrutiny after 1998 when it was realized [6, 7] that string theory seems to have some effective regimes described by noncommutative field theories (NCFT) defined on a simple NC version of flat four dimensional space. This latter is the so-called Moyal-Weyl space (for a mathematical description see e.g [8, 9]) which has constant commutators between space coordinates. NCFT on Moyal spaces were also shown to be the ones whose nonrelativistic counterparts correspond to many body quantum theory in strong external magnetic field (see e.g [10, 11]).

This growing interest received however a blow when it was noticed [12, 13] that the simplest NC φ^4 model, (φ real-valued) on the 4-dimensional Moyal space is not renormalizable due to the occurrence of a phenomenon called Ultraviolet/Infrared mixing [12, 13, 14]. This phenomenon results basically from the existence of some nonplanar diagrams which are ultraviolet finite but nevertheless develop infrared singularities which when inserted into higher order diagrams are not of the renormalizable type [1, 2, 3]. A possible solution to this problem, hereafter called the "harmonic solution", was proposed in 2004 [15, 16] (see also [17, 18, 19]) which basically amounts to supplement the initial action with a simple harmonic oscillator term leading to a fully renormalisable NCFT. For recent reviews, see e.g [20, 21]. This result seems to be related to the covariance of the model under a new symmetry, called Langmann-Szabo duality [22], which roughly speaking, exchanges coordinates and momenta. Other renormalisable noncommutative matter field theories on Moyal spaces have then been identified [23, 24, 25, 26] and some studies of the properties of the corresponding renormalisation group flows have been carried out [27, 28, 29], exhibiting in particular the vanishing of the β -function to all orders for the φ_4^4 model [30].

So far, the construction of a fully renormalisable gauge theory on 4-dimensional Moyal spaces remains a challenging problem. Recall that the naive noncommutative version of the pure Yang-Mills action on Moyal spaces given by $S_0 = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x (F_{\mu\nu} \star F_{\mu\nu})(x)$ (in the standard notations and conventions recalled in section 2), suffers from UV/IR mixing which makes its renormalisability unlikely. This basically stems from the occurrence of an IR singularity in the one-loop polarisation tensor $\omega_{\mu\nu}(p)$ (p is some external momentum). Indeed, by standard calculation one easily infers that

$$\omega_{\mu\nu}(p) \sim \frac{(D-2)}{4} \Gamma\left(\frac{D}{2}\right) \frac{\tilde{p}_\mu \tilde{p}_\nu}{\pi^{D/2} (\tilde{p}^2)^{D/2}} + \dots \quad p \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tilde{p}_\mu \equiv 2\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1} p_\nu$ and $\Gamma(z)$ denotes the Euler function. Notice that this singularity, albeit obviously transverse in the sense of the Slavnov-Taylor-Ward identities, does not correspond to some gauge invariant term. This implies that the recent alternative solution to the UV/IR mixing proposed within the NC φ^4 model in [31], which roughly amounts to balance the IR singularity through a counterterm having a similar form, cannot be extended straightforwardly (if possible at all) to the case of gauge theories.

It turns out that the extension of the harmonic solution to the case of gauge theories has been achieved recently in [32] and [33] (see also [34, 35]), starting basically from a computation of the one-loop effective gauge action obtained by integrating out the matter degree of freedom of a NC matter field theory with harmonic term similar to the one used in [15, 16] minimally coupled to an external gauge potential. Both analysis have singled out, as possible candidate for renormalisable gauge theory defined on (4-dimensional) Moyal space, the following generic action

$$S = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} \star F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \{ \mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu \}_\star^2 + \kappa \mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu \right) \quad (1.2)$$

Here, $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ denotes the so called covariant coordinates defined in section 2, a natural gauge covariant tensorial form stemming from the existence of a canonical gauge invariant connection within the present NC framework (for more details on the relevant mathematical structures see e.g in [36, 37, 21]). In (1.2), the additional 2nd term may be viewed as a "gauge counterpart" of the harmonic term introduced in [15]. This action, that has been shown to be related to a spectral triple [38], exhibits interesting properties [32, 33] that deserve further studies. For instance, gauge invariant mass terms for the gauge fields are allowed even in the absence of some Higgs mechanism. However, the presence of the additional terms implies in general a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value for the gauge potential. Somewhat similar non trivial vacuum configurations also occur within NC scalar models with harmonic term as shown and studied recently in [39]. It turns out that the explicit determination of the relevant vacuum for any gauge theory model of the type (1.2) is a necessary step to be reached before the study of its renormalisability can be undertaken. Indeed, a reliable perturbative analysis in the present situation can only be defined after the action is expanded around the non trivial vacuum which actually demand to know the explicit expression for that vacuum. This should then be followed by a suitable gauge-fixing presumably inherited from the background field methods.

The purpose of the present paper is the determination of vacuum configurations for the gauge theories generically defined by (1.2), for $D = 2$ and $D = 4$ dimensions. The paper is organized as follows. In the section 2, we fix the notations and collect the main features of the Noncommutative Geometry framework that will be used thorough the analysis. The relevant symmetries for the vacua are examined in the section 3, focussing on vacuum configurations that are invariant under both rotations and symplectic isomorphisms. The sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the determination of these symmetric vacuum configurations for $D = 2$ and $D = 4$. It turns out that the use of the matrix base formalism proves convenient to obtain explicit expressions for the relevant solutions of the equation of motion from which vacuum solutions can be obtained. Finally, we summarize and discuss our results in the section 6 and we conclude.

2 Basic features.

In this section, we collect the main ingredients that will be needed in the subsequent discussion. Some detailed studies of the Moyal NC algebra are carried out e.g in [8, 9] while mathematical descriptions of the NC framework underlying the present study can be found in [37, 21].

2.1 The noncommutative gauge theory.

The Moyal space, on which is constructed the gauge theory considered here, can be defined as an algebra of tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^D$ endowed with the Moyal product [8, 9] hereafter denoted by a $\star$ -symbol. Indeed, this latter can be defined on $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^D)$, the space of complex-valued Schwartz functions by

$$\forall f, h \in \mathcal{S} \quad (f \star h)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^D \theta^D} \int d^D y d^D z f(x+y) h(x+z) e^{-iy \wedge z}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $x \wedge y = 2x_\mu \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1} y_\nu$ and

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = \theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

where θ has mass dimension -2 . Notice that $\mathcal{S}$ is stable by the product $\star$. Then, the Moyal product can be actually extended by duality to the following subalgebra of tempered distributions $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^D)$ given by

$$\mathcal{M}_\theta = \{T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^D), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S} \quad T \star f \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{and} \quad f \star T \in \mathcal{S}\}. \quad (2.3)$$

The Moyal space $(\mathcal{M}_\theta, \star, \dagger)$ is a unital involutive associative algebra (where $\dagger$ denotes the complex conjugation), and involves in particular the “coordinate functions” x_μ , satisfying the following commutation relation: $[x_\mu, x_\nu]_\star = x_\mu \star x_\nu - x_\nu \star x_\mu = i\Theta_{\mu\nu}$. From this relation, and defining $\tilde{x}_\mu = 2\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}x_\nu$, we deduce some useful properties of $\mathcal{M}_\theta$:

$$\forall f, h \in \mathcal{M}_\theta, \quad \partial_\mu(f \star h) = \partial_\mu f \star h + f \star \partial_\mu h, \quad (2.4a)$$

$$\int d^4x \, f \star h = \int d^4x \, f.h, \quad (2.4b)$$

$$[\tilde{x}_\mu, f]_\star = 2i\partial_\mu f, \quad (2.4c)$$

$$\{\tilde{x}_\mu, f\}_\star = \tilde{x}_\mu \star f + f \star \tilde{x}_\mu = 2\tilde{x}_\mu.f. \quad (2.4d)$$

In the present noncommutative framework, the Yang-Mills theory can be built from real-valued gauge potentials A_μ defined on $\mathcal{M}_\theta$, stemming from the very definition of noncommutative connections. For more mathematical details, see [4, 36, 37, 21, 32]. Recall that the group of gauge transformations acts on the gauge potential as

$$A_\mu^g = g \star A_\mu \star g^\dagger + ig \star \partial_\mu g^\dagger, \quad (2.5)$$

where $g \in \mathcal{M}_\theta$ is the gauge function and it satisfies $g^\dagger \star g = g \star g^\dagger = \mathbb{I}$. Recall also that there exists a special gauge potential in the Moyal space defined by $(-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}_\mu)$. One can check that $(-\frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}_\mu)^g = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}_\mu$ holds, stemming from the existence of a gauge invariant connection [36, 37, 21], whose occurrence is implied by the fact that all derivations on $\mathcal{M}_\theta$ are inner. From this gauge invariant potential, we construct the covariant coordinates [1]:

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = A_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}_\mu, \quad (2.6)$$

which transform covariantly:

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu^g = g \star \mathcal{A}_\mu \star g^\dagger. \quad (2.7)$$

Then, the curvature $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i[A_\mu, A_\nu]_\star$, transforming as $F_{\mu\nu}^g = g \star F_{\mu\nu} \star g^\dagger$, can be reexpressed in terms of $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ as

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1} - i[\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu]_\star. \quad (2.8)$$

It is known that the naive noncommutative extension of the Yang-Mills action is plagued by UV/IR mixing [14] which renders its renormalisability very unlikely, unless it is suitably modified. It turns out that the extension of the harmonic solution proposed in [15] to the case of gauge theories has been achieved recently [32, 33]. This singled out a class of potentially renormalisable theories on $\mathcal{M}_\theta$ whose action can be generically written as

$$S = \int d^Dx \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} \star F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\Omega^2}{4} \{\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu\}_\star^2 + \kappa \mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu \right), \quad (2.9)$$

in which Ω and κ are real parameters, with mass dimensions respectively given by $[\Omega] = 0$ and $[\kappa] = 2$. This has been further shown to be related to a spectral triple [38].

2.2 The matrix base.

It will be convenient to represent elements on $\mathcal{M}_\theta$ with the help of the “so-called” matrix base. For more details, see [23]. Recall that its elements $(b_{mn}^{(D)}(x))$ in D dimensions are eigenfunctions of the harmonic oscillator hamiltonian $H = \frac{x^2}{2}$:

$$H \star b_{mn}^{(D)} = \theta(|m| + \frac{1}{2})b_{mn}^{(D)} \quad b_{mn}^{(D)} \star H = \theta(|n| + \frac{1}{2})b_{mn}^{(D)}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{\frac{D}{2}}$ and $|m| = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{D}{2}} m_i$. In two dimensions, the expression of the elements $(b_{mn}^{(2)}) = (f_{mn})$ of the matrix base in polar coordinates

$$x_1 = r \cos(\varphi), \quad x_2 = r \sin(\varphi), \quad (2.11)$$

is given by

$$f_{mn}(x) = 2(-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{m!}{n!}} e^{i(n-m)\varphi} \left(\frac{2r^2}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{n-m}{2}} L_m^{n-m} \left(\frac{2r^2}{\theta}\right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{\theta}}, \quad (2.12)$$

where the $L_n^k(x)$ are the associated Laguerre polynomials. The extension in four dimensions is straightforward. Namely, one has $m = (m_1, m_2)$, $n = (n_1, n_2)$ and

$$b_{mn}^{(4)}(x) = f_{m_1, n_1}(x_1, x_2) f_{m_2, n_2}(x_3, x_4). \quad (2.13)$$

The matrix base satisfies to the following properties:

$$(b_{mn}^{(D)} \star b_{kl}^{(D)})(x) = \delta_{nk} b_{ml}^{(D)}(x), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\int d^D x b_{mn}^{(D)}(x) = (2\pi\theta)^{\frac{D}{2}} \delta_{mn}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$(b_{mn}^{(D)})^\dagger(x) = b_{nm}^{(D)}(x). \quad (2.16)$$

We recall that this base defines therefore an isomorphism between the unital involutive Moyal algebra and a subalgebra of the unital involutive algebra of complex infinite-dimensional matrices. Indeed, for all $g \in \mathcal{M}_\theta$, there is a unique matrix (g_{mn}) satisfying

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^D \quad g(x) = \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{\frac{D}{2}}} g_{mn} b_{mn}^{(D)}(x). \quad (2.17)$$

Then, this matrix is given by

$$g_{mn} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\theta)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \int d^D x g(x) b_{mn}^{(D)}(x). \quad (2.18)$$

3 Symmetries of the vacua.

In the following, we will have to solve the equation of motion. This is a difficult task. In this respect, it is convenient to exhibit some symmetries of the theory that will be used to constraint the expression for the solutions we look for. In fact, the group of symmetries of the euclidean Moyal algebra $\mathcal{M}_\theta$ in D dimensions is

$$G_D = SO(D) \cap Sp(D), \quad (3.1)$$

where $SO(D)$ is the group of rotations and $Sp(D)$ is the group of symplectic isomorphisms. G_D acts on the field A_μ or $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ as

$$\forall \Lambda \in G_D, \quad A_\mu^\Lambda(x) = \Lambda_{\mu\nu} A_\nu(\Lambda^{-1}x). \quad (3.2)$$

The action $S(\mathcal{A})$ (2.9) is of course invariant under G_D . We further require that the new action $\tilde{S}(\mathcal{A}_\mu^0, \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu) = S(\mathcal{A}_\mu^0 + \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu)$, obtained from the expansion of S around a non-trivial vacuum $\mathcal{A}_\mu^0$, is also invariant under G_D . This means that $\tilde{S}(\mathcal{A}_\mu^0, \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu^\Lambda) = \tilde{S}(\mathcal{A}_\mu^0, \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu)$, where $\Lambda \in G_D$ do not affect the vacuum $\mathcal{A}_\mu^0$. Since $S(\mathcal{A}_\mu)$ is invariant under G_D , this is equivalent to

$$\forall \Lambda \in G_D, \quad \tilde{S}((\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda, \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu) = \tilde{S}(\mathcal{A}_\mu^0, \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu). \quad (3.3)$$

This last relation implies that the vacuum is invariant under G_D . Indeed, upon using the expression (4.1) of the action given in the next section, the part of (3.3) quadratic in $\delta\mathcal{A}_\mu$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^D x \left(-\frac{(1-\Omega^2)}{2} (2\mathcal{A}_\mu^0 \star \mathcal{A}_\nu^0 \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu + 2\mathcal{A}_\mu^0 \star \mathcal{A}_\nu^0 \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu \right. \\ & + 2\mathcal{A}_\mu^0 \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu^0 \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu) + \frac{(1+\Omega^2)}{2} (2\mathcal{A}_\mu^0 \star \mathcal{A}_\mu^0 \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu + 2\mathcal{A}_\mu^0 \star \mathcal{A}_\nu^0 \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu \\ & + \mathcal{A}_\mu^0 \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu^0 \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu + \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu^0 \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu^0) \Big) = \\ & \int d^D x \left(-\frac{(1-\Omega^2)}{2} (2(\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda \star (\mathcal{A}_\nu^0)^\Lambda \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu + 2(\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda \star (\mathcal{A}_\nu^0)^\Lambda \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu \right. \\ & + 2(\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu \star (\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu) + \frac{(1+\Omega^2)}{2} (2(\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda \star (\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu \\ & + 2(\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda \star (\mathcal{A}_\nu^0)^\Lambda \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu + (\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu \star (\mathcal{A}_\nu^0)^\Lambda \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu + \delta\mathcal{A}_\mu \star (\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda \star \delta\mathcal{A}_\nu \star (\mathcal{A}_\nu^0)^\Lambda) \Big). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

This relation is true for all the fluctuations $\delta\mathcal{A}_\mu$, so it holds at the level of the lagrangians involved in the integrals. Assuming now $\delta\mathcal{A}_\mu(x) = \delta_{\mu\rho}$, for some fixed ρ , we obtain from (3.4)

$$2\Omega^2(\mathcal{A}_\mu^0 \mathcal{A}_\mu^0 + 2(\mathcal{A}_\rho^0)^2 - (\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda (\mathcal{A}_\mu^0)^\Lambda - 2((\mathcal{A}_\rho^0)^\Lambda)^2) = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where the index ρ is not summed over. It is now easy to get $((\mathcal{A}_\rho^0)^\Lambda)^2 = (\mathcal{A}_\rho^0)^2$, and since G_D is a connected Lie group,

$$(\mathcal{A}_\rho^0)^\Lambda(x) = \mathcal{A}_\rho^0(x). \quad (3.6)$$

The vacuum is invariant under G_D , i.e., dropping from now on in $\mathcal{A}_\mu^0$ the superscript 0, $\mathcal{A}_\mu(x) = \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{A}_\nu(\Lambda^{-1}x)$ for all $\Lambda \in G_D$. Since the identity matrix and $2\Theta^{-1}$ are the only matrices up to a scalar multiplication that commute with G_D , we can write that

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu(x) = \phi_1(x)x_\mu + \phi_2(x)2\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}x_\nu = \phi_1(x)x_\mu + \phi_2(x)\tilde{x}_\mu, \quad (3.7)$$

where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are two scalar fields invariant under G_D . Then, G_D is isomorphic to $U(\frac{D}{2})$ and the isomorphism is described by associating to each coefficient u_{ij} of a matrix of $U(\frac{D}{2})$, the submatrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Re}(u_{ij}) & -\text{Im}(u_{ij}) \\ \text{Im}(u_{ij}) & \text{Re}(u_{ij}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.8)$$

in the place (i, j) of the matrix of G_D . From the theory of the invariants of $U(\frac{D}{2})$ [40], one infers that ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are therefore functions only on x^2 . Then, the general expression for $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu(x) = \Phi_1(x^2)x_\mu + \Phi_2(x^2)\tilde{x}_\mu. \quad (3.9)$$

This form will be extensively used to solve the equation of motion in the following section.

4 Solving the equation of motion.

By using the definition of the covariant coordinate (2.6), namely $\mathcal{A}_\mu = A_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}_\mu$, the action (2.9) can be rewritten as

$$S = \int d^D x \left(-\frac{(1-\Omega^2)}{2} \mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu + \frac{(1+\Omega^2)}{2} \mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu + \kappa \mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu \right), \quad (4.1)$$

Then, the corresponding equation of motion $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \mathcal{A}_\mu(x)} = 0$ is given by

$$-2(1-\Omega^2)\mathcal{A}_\nu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu + (1+\Omega^2)\mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu + (1+\Omega^2)\mathcal{A}_\nu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu + 2\kappa \mathcal{A}_\mu = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Due to the very structure of the Moyal product, this is a complicated integro-differential equation for which no known algorithm to solve it does exist so far. Notice that it supports the trivial solution $\mathcal{A}_\mu(x) = 0$, which, however, is not so interesting since expanding the action around it give rise to a non-dynamical matrix model, as already noted in [34]. It turns out that (4.2) supports other nontrivial solutions. These can be conveniently determined for $D = 2$ and $D = 4$ using the matrix base (2.12) and (2.13) as we now show in the rest of this section.

4.1 The case D=2.

When $D = 2$, it is convenient to define

$$Z(x) = \frac{\mathcal{A}_1(x) + i\mathcal{A}_2(x)}{\sqrt{2}} \quad Z^\dagger(x) = \frac{\mathcal{A}_1(x) - i\mathcal{A}_2(x)}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (4.3)$$

Then, the action can be expressed as

$$S = \int d^2 x \left((-1 + 3\Omega^2) Z \star Z \star Z^\dagger \star Z^\dagger + (1 + \Omega^2) Z \star Z^\dagger \star Z \star Z^\dagger + 2\kappa Z \star Z^\dagger \right), \quad (4.4)$$

so that the equation of motion takes the form

$$(3\Omega^2 - 1)(Z^\dagger \star Z \star Z + Z \star Z \star Z^\dagger) + 2(1 + \Omega^2)Z \star Z^\dagger \star Z + 2\kappa Z = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Expressing now $Z(x)$ in the matrix base, namely

$$Z(x) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} Z_{mn} f_{mn}(x), \quad (4.6)$$

(4.5) becomes a cubic infinite-dimensional matrix equation. In view of the discussion carried out in section 3, we now look for the symmetric solutions of the form given by (3.9), namely

$$Z(x) = \Phi_1(x^2) \left(\frac{x_1 + ix_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \Phi_2(x^2) \left(\frac{\tilde{x}_1 + i\tilde{x}_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

To translate (4.7) into the matrix base, we first note that the expression of the matrix coefficients of $Z(x)$ is given by (2.18)

$$Z_{mn} = \frac{1}{2\pi\theta} \int d^2x Z(x) f_{nm}(x). \quad (4.8)$$

In polar coordinates (r, φ) , we have

$$x_1 + ix_2 = re^{i\varphi}, \quad \tilde{x}_1 + i\tilde{x}_2 = -\frac{2i}{\theta} re^{i\varphi}, \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$Z_{mn} = \frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi\theta\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{n!}{m!}} \int r dr d\varphi e^{i(m-n)\varphi} \left(\frac{2r^2}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{m-n}{2}} L_n^{m-n} \left(\frac{2r^2}{\theta}\right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{\theta}} (\Phi_1(r^2) re^{i\varphi} - \Phi_2(r^2) \frac{2i}{\theta} re^{i\varphi}). \quad (4.10)$$

By performing the integration over φ , we easily find that

$$Z_{mn} = \frac{(-1)^n}{\theta\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{n!}{m!}} \int r^2 dr \left(\frac{2r^2}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{m-n}{2}} L_n^{m-n} \left(\frac{2r^2}{\theta}\right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{\theta}} (\Phi_1(r^2) - \Phi_2(r^2) \frac{2i}{\theta}) \delta_{m+1,n}. \quad (4.11)$$

Then, defining $z = \frac{2r^2}{\theta}$ and

$$a_m = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{4} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{\theta}} \int dz L_{m+1}^{-1}(z) e^{-\frac{z}{2}} (\Phi_2(\frac{\theta z}{2}) + \frac{i\theta}{2} \Phi_1(\frac{\theta z}{2})), \quad (4.12)$$

we obtain

$$Z_{mn} = -ia_m \delta_{m+1,n}. \quad (4.13)$$

This, inserted into (4.5) yields

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_m ((3\Omega^2 - 1)(|a_{m-1}|^2 + |a_{m+1}|^2) + 2(1 + \Omega^2)|a_m|^2 + 2\kappa) = 0, \quad (4.14)$$

where it is understood that $a_{-1} = 0$. Then, (4.14) implies

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (i) \ a_m = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (ii) \ (3\Omega^2 - 1)(|a_{m-1}|^2 + |a_{m+1}|^2) + 2(1 + \Omega^2)|a_m|^2 + 2\kappa = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

From now on, we will focus only on the second condition (ii) (this will be more closely discussed in section 6, see hypothesis (H)):

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3\Omega^2 - 1)(|a_{m-1}|^2 + |a_{m+1}|^2) + 2(1 + \Omega^2)|a_m|^2 + 2\kappa = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Upon setting $u_{m+1} = |a_m|^2$, (4.16) becomes

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3\Omega^2 - 1)(u_m + u_{m+2}) + 2(1 + \Omega^2)u_{m+1} + 2\kappa = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

which is a non homogenous linear iterative equation of second order with boundary condition $u_0 = 0$. This will be solved in subsection 5.1.

4.2 The case D=4.

The case $D = 4$ can be straightforwardly adapted from the two-dimensional case. Owing to the fact that two symplectic pairs are now involved in the four-dimensional Moyal space, we define two complex quantities, namely

$$Z_1(x) = \frac{\mathcal{A}_1(x) + i\mathcal{A}_2(x)}{\sqrt{2}} \quad Z_2(x) = \frac{\mathcal{A}_3(x) + i\mathcal{A}_4(x)}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (4.18)$$

Then, using these new variables, equation (4.1) can be conveniently reexpressed as

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int d^4x & \left((-1 + 3\Omega^2) Z_1 \star Z_1 \star Z_1^\dagger \star Z_1^\dagger + (1 + \Omega^2) Z_1 \star Z_1^\dagger \star Z_1 \star Z_1^\dagger + 2\kappa Z_1 \star Z_1^\dagger \right. \\ & + (-1 + 3\Omega^2) Z_2 \star Z_2 \star Z_2^\dagger \star Z_2^\dagger + (1 + \Omega^2) Z_2 \star Z_2^\dagger \star Z_2 \star Z_2^\dagger + 2\kappa Z_2 \star Z_2^\dagger \\ & - 2(1 - \Omega^2) Z_1 \star Z_2 \star Z_1^\dagger \star Z_2^\dagger - 2(1 - \Omega^2) Z_2 \star Z_1 \star Z_2^\dagger \star Z_1^\dagger + (1 + \Omega^2) Z_1 \star Z_1^\dagger \star Z_2 \star Z_2^\dagger \\ & \left. + (1 + \Omega^2) Z_2 \star Z_1 \star Z_1^\dagger \star Z_2^\dagger + (1 + \Omega^2) Z_1 \star Z_2 \star Z_2^\dagger \star Z_1^\dagger + (1 + \Omega^2) Z_1^\dagger \star Z_1 \star Z_2^\dagger \star Z_2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

From (4.19), we derive the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} (3\Omega^2 - 1)(Z_1^\dagger \star Z_1 \star Z_1 + Z_1 \star Z_1 \star Z_1^\dagger) & + (1 + \Omega^2)(2Z_1 \star Z_1^\dagger \star Z_1 + Z_2 \star Z_2^\dagger \star Z_1 \\ & + Z_2^\dagger \star Z_2 \star Z_1 + Z_1 \star Z_2 \star Z_2^\dagger + Z_1 \star Z_2^\dagger \star Z_2) - 2(1 - \Omega^2)(Z_2^\dagger \star Z_1 \star Z_2 + Z_2 \star Z_1 \star Z_2^\dagger) \\ & + 2\kappa Z_1 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3\Omega^2 - 1)(Z_2^\dagger \star Z_2 \star Z_2 + Z_2 \star Z_2 \star Z_2^\dagger) & + (1 + \Omega^2)(2Z_2 \star Z_2^\dagger \star Z_2 + Z_1 \star Z_1^\dagger \star Z_2 \\ & + Z_1^\dagger \star Z_1 \star Z_2 + Z_2 \star Z_1 \star Z_1^\dagger + Z_2 \star Z_1^\dagger \star Z_1) - 2(1 - \Omega^2)(Z_1^\dagger \star Z_2 \star Z_1 + Z_1 \star Z_2 \star Z_1^\dagger) \\ & + 2\kappa Z_2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20b)$$

Notice that (4.20a) and (4.20b) are exchanged upon performing the exchange $Z_1 \rightleftharpoons Z_2$. Now we again specialize to the symmetric solutions of the form (3.9), namely

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Phi_1(x^2)(x_1 + ix_2) + \Phi_2(x^2)(\tilde{x}_1 + i\tilde{x}_2)), \\ Z_2(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\Phi_1(x^2)(x_3 + ix_4) + \Phi_2(x^2)(\tilde{x}_3 + i\tilde{x}_4)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Then, in view of (2.13) and (2.18), one has

$$(Z_1)_{m,n} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\theta)^2} \int d^4x \, Z_1(x) f_{n_1, m_1}(x_1, x_2) f_{n_2, m_2}(x_3, x_4). \quad (4.22)$$

Let us introduce the polar coordinates associated to each symplectic pair:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= r_1 \cos(\varphi_1) & x_2 &= r_1 \sin(\varphi_1) \\ x_3 &= r_2 \cos(\varphi_2) & x_4 &= r_2 \sin(\varphi_2) \\ r^2 &= r_1^2 + r_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Then, by using (2.12) and integrating over the two angular variables φ_1 and φ_2 , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_1)_{m,n} &= \frac{2(-1)^{m_1+m_2+1}}{\theta} \sqrt{\frac{m_1+1}{\theta}} \int r_1 dr_1 r_2 dr_2 (\Phi_1(r^2) - \frac{2i}{\theta} \Phi_2(r^2)) \\ & e^{-\frac{r^2}{\theta}} L_{m_1+1}^{-1}(\frac{2r^2}{\theta}) L_{m_2}^0(\frac{2r^2}{\theta}) \delta_{m_1+1, n_1} \delta_{m_2, n_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Let us now integrate by part this expression. Defining $z_1 = \frac{2r_1^2}{\theta}$, $z_2 = \frac{2r_2^2}{\theta}$, $z = z_1 + z_2$, and denoting by $F(z)$ one primitive function of $(\Phi_2(\frac{\theta z}{2}) + \frac{i\theta}{2}\Phi_1(\frac{\theta z}{2}))e^{-\frac{z}{2}}$, (4.24) leads to

$$(Z_1)_{m,n} = -i \frac{(-1)^{m_1+m_2+1}}{4} \sqrt{\frac{m_1+1}{\theta}} \int dz_1 dz_2 F(z) L_{m_1}^0(z_1) L_{m_2}^0(z_2) \delta_{m_1+1,n_1} \delta_{m_2,n_2}, \quad (4.25)$$

where we have used

$$\frac{d}{dx} L_{m_1+1}^{-1}(x) = -L_{m_1}^0(x). \quad (4.26)$$

A similar derivation holds for $(Z_2)_{m,n}$. Finally, using the symmetry argument developped in section 3, we find

$$(Z_1)_{m,n} = -i a_{m_1 m_2} \sqrt{m_1+1} \delta_{m_1+1,n_1} \delta_{m_2,n_2}, \quad (4.27)$$

$$(Z_2)_{m,n} = -i a_{m_1 m_2} \sqrt{m_2+1} \delta_{m_1,n_1} \delta_{m_2+1,n_2}, \quad (4.28)$$

where $a_{m_1, m_2} \in \mathbb{C}$ is symmetric upon the exchange of m_1 and m_2 . Then, (4.20a) and (4.20b) become

$$\begin{aligned} & (3\Omega^2 - 1)(m_1 |a_{m_1-1, m_2}|^2 + (m_1 + 2) |a_{m_1+1, m_2}|^2) a_{m_1, m_2} + (1 + \Omega^2)(2(m_1 + 1) |a_{m_1, m_2}|^2 \\ & + (m_2 + 1) |a_{m_1, m_2}|^2 + m_2 |a_{m_1, m_2-1}|^2 + (m_2 + 1) |a_{m_1+1, m_2}|^2 + m_2 |a_{m_1+1, m_2-1}|^2) a_{m_1, m_2} \\ & - 2(1 - \Omega^2)(m_2 |a_{m_1, m_2-1}|^2 a_{m_1+1, m_2-1} + (m_2 + 1) \bar{a}_{m_1+1, m_2} a_{m_1, m_2+1} a_{m_1, m_2}) + 2\kappa a_{m_1, m_2} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.29a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (3\Omega^2 - 1)(m_2 |a_{m_1, m_2-1}|^2 + (m_2 + 2) |a_{m_1, m_2+1}|^2) a_{m_1, m_2} + (1 + \Omega^2)(2(m_2 + 1) |a_{m_1, m_2}|^2 \\ & + (m_1 + 1) |a_{m_1, m_2}|^2 + m_1 |a_{m_1-1, m_2}|^2 + (m_1 + 1) |a_{m_1, m_2+1}|^2 + m_1 |a_{m_1-1, m_2+1}|^2) a_{m_1, m_2} \\ & - 2(1 - \Omega^2)(m_1 |a_{m_1-1, m_2}|^2 a_{m_1-1, m_2+1} + (m_1 + 1) \bar{a}_{m_1, m_2+1} a_{m_1+1, m_2} a_{m_1, m_2}) + 2\kappa a_{m_1, m_2} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29b)$$

As we did for the case $D = 2$, we assume now that $a_{m_1, m_2} \neq 0$ (for a more detailed discussion of this point, see section 6. This will be called hypothesis $(\mathfrak{H})$). Combining this latter assumption with (4.29), it can be shown that a_{m_1, m_2} depends only on $m = m_1 + m_2$. The corresponding proof is presented in the appendix A. Now, if we define $v_{m_1+m_2+1} = |a_{m_1, m_2}|^2$, (4.29) is equivalent to

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3\Omega^2 - 1)(m v_m + (m + 3) v_{m+2}) + (1 + \Omega^2)(2m + 3) v_{m+1} + 2\kappa = 0, \quad (4.30)$$

which is a non homogenous linear iterative equation of second order with non constant coefficients, with boundary condition $v_0 = 0$. Notice also that this equation is very close to this of the case $D = 2$, defining $v_m = \frac{u_m}{m}$ in (4.17).

5 Solutions.

In this section, we solve the equations (4.17) and (4.30) to obtain the vacuum configurations for $D = 2$ and $D = 4$.

5.1 The case $D=2$.

Let us consider the equation (4.17)

$$\begin{aligned} \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad & (3\Omega^2 - 1)(u_m + u_{m+2}) + 2(1 + \Omega^2)u_{m+1} + 2\kappa = 0, \\ & u_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_m \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

For $\Omega^2 \neq \frac{1}{3}$, we define

$$r = \frac{1 + \Omega^2 + \sqrt{8\Omega^2(1 - \Omega^2)}}{1 - 3\Omega^2}, \quad (5.2)$$

and one has $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1 + \Omega^2 - \sqrt{8\Omega^2(1 - \Omega^2)}}{1 - 3\Omega^2}$. Then, it is easy to realise that (5.1) supports different types of solutions according to the range for the values taken by Ω . Namely, one has

- for $\Omega^2 = 0$, $\kappa = 0$, $u_m = \alpha m$ and $\alpha \geq 0$;
- for $0 < \Omega^2 < \frac{1}{3}$, $u_m = \alpha(r^m - r^{-m}) - \frac{\kappa}{4\Omega^2}(1 - r^{-m})$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $r > 1$;
- for $\Omega^2 = \frac{1}{3}$, $\kappa \leq 0$ and $u_m = -\frac{3\kappa}{4}$;
- for $\frac{1}{3} < \Omega^2 < 1$, $\kappa \leq 0$, $u_m = -\frac{\kappa}{4\Omega^2}(1 - r^{-m})$ and $r < -1$;
- for $\Omega^2 = 1$, $\kappa \leq 0$ and $u_m = -\frac{\kappa}{4}(1 - (-1)^{-m})$.

Notice that the solution for $\Omega = 0$ corresponds to the commutative case: $u_m = \frac{m}{\theta}$ is equivalent to a vacuum $\mathcal{A}_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}_\mu$ or $A_\mu = 0$. Then, it is possible to choose α depending on Ω so that the solution is continuous in Ω near 0. With the following Taylor expansions

$$\begin{aligned} r^m - r^{-m} &= 4\sqrt{2}m\Omega + O(\Omega^3), \\ 1 - r^{-m} &= 2\sqrt{2}m\Omega - 4m^2\Omega^2 + O(\Omega^3), \\ \alpha(\Omega) &= \frac{1}{\Omega}(\alpha_0 + O(\Omega)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

one deduces that κ must have the same asymptotic behaviour as Ω near 0. If $\kappa = \kappa_0\Omega + O(\Omega)$,

$$u_m = 4\sqrt{2}m\alpha_0 - \frac{\sqrt{2}m}{2}\kappa_0 + O(\Omega). \quad (5.4)$$

For $\alpha_0 = \frac{\kappa_0}{8} + \frac{4}{4\theta\sqrt{2}}$, we find the commutative limit for the vacuum: $A_\mu = 0$, and the gauge potential is massless ($\lim_{\Omega \rightarrow 0} \kappa = 0$).

Consider now the asymptotic behaviour of the vacuum in the configuration space for $x^2 \rightarrow \infty$. If $0 < \Omega^2 < \frac{1}{3}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, then $\sqrt{u_m} \sim_{m \rightarrow \infty} r^{\frac{m}{2}}$ and $r > 1$. As a consequence [8], the solution $\mathcal{A}_\mu(x)$ of the equation of motion does not belong to the Moyal algebra. So we require that $\alpha = 0$. Then, for $\Omega \neq 0$, κ has to be negative and u_m has a finite limit. This indicates that $\mathcal{A}_\mu(x)$ has a constant limit in $x^2 \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us try to obtain an expression for the vacuum in the configuration space. Using the variable (4.3), the solution is

$$\begin{aligned} Z(x) &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} -ia_m \delta_{m+1,n} f_{m,n}(x) \\ &= -i \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m f_{m,m+1}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

with $a_m = e^{i\xi_m} \sqrt{u_m}$ and $\xi_m \in \mathbb{R}$ an arbitrary phase. Using the equation (2.12), we obtain

$$Z(x) = -2i\sqrt{z}e^{\frac{z}{2}}e^{i\varphi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{\sqrt{m+1}} a_m L_m^1(z), \quad (5.6)$$

where $z = \frac{2r^2}{\theta}$. Then, the use of the following property

$$L_m^k(z) = \frac{e^z z^{-\frac{k}{2}}}{m!} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} t^{m+\frac{k}{2}} J_k(2\sqrt{tz}) \quad (5.7)$$

permits one to express $Z(x)$ as

$$Z(x) = -2ie^{\frac{z}{2}} e^{i\varphi} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} \sqrt{t} J_1(2\sqrt{tz}) \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m a_m}{m! \sqrt{m+1}} t^m, \quad (5.8)$$

with $J_k(x)$ is the k -th function of Bessel of the first kind. Since $-i\sqrt{\frac{2z}{\theta}} e^{i(\varphi+\xi_m)} = (\tilde{x}_1 + i\tilde{x}_2) \cos(\xi_m) + \frac{2}{\theta}(x_1 + ix_2) \sin(\xi_m)$, one can deduce the expression of the vacuum in two dimensions

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu(x) = 2\sqrt{\theta} \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}}}{\sqrt{z}} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} \sqrt{t} J_1(2\sqrt{tz}) \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m \sqrt{u_{m+1}}}{m! \sqrt{m+1}} t^m \left(\tilde{x}_\mu \cos(\xi_m) + \frac{2}{\theta} x_\mu \sin(\xi_m) \right), \quad (5.9)$$

with u_m given above.

5.2 A special case.

In this subsection, we consider the case $\Omega^2 = \frac{1}{3}$ and $\kappa < 0$ in two dimensions. We have found in the previous subsection that the solution is given by $u_m = -\frac{3\kappa}{4}$ and $a_m = e^{i\xi_m} \sqrt{u_m}$. Set now $\xi_m = 0$ and let us check that this solution of the equation of motion is a minimum of the action. The action can be expanded around the vacuum $Z(x)$ for these values of parameters and its quadratic part is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{quadr} = \int d^2x & \left(2\kappa \delta Z \star \delta Z^\dagger + 4Z \star Z^\dagger \star \delta Z \star \delta Z^\dagger + 4Z^\dagger \star Z \star \delta Z^\dagger \star \delta Z \right. \\ & \left. + 2Z \star \delta Z^\dagger \star Z \star \delta Z^\dagger + 2Z^\dagger \star \delta Z \star Z^\dagger \star \delta Z \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where $\delta Z(x)$ is the fluctuation. Then, denoting $\alpha = -\pi\theta\kappa > 0$, (5.10) can be reexpressed in the matrix base as

$$\tilde{S}_{quadr} = 4\alpha \delta Z_{m,n} \delta Z_{n,m}^\dagger - 2\alpha \delta Z_{m+1,n}^\dagger \delta Z_{n+1,m}^\dagger - 2\alpha \delta Z_{m,n+1} \delta Z_{n,m+1}. \quad (5.11)$$

Using (4.3), one can find that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{quadr} = & 2\alpha (\delta \mathcal{A}_1)_{m,n} (\delta \mathcal{A}_1)_{n,m} - \alpha (\delta \mathcal{A}_1)_{m,n} (\delta \mathcal{A}_1)_{n+1,m-1} - \alpha (\delta \mathcal{A}_1)_{m,n} (\delta \mathcal{A}_1)_{n-1,m+1} \\ & + 2\alpha (\delta \mathcal{A}_2)_{m,n} (\delta \mathcal{A}_2)_{n,m} + \alpha (\delta \mathcal{A}_2)_{m,n} (\delta \mathcal{A}_2)_{n+1,m-1} + \alpha (\delta \mathcal{A}_2)_{m,n} (\delta \mathcal{A}_2)_{n-1,m+1} \\ & + 2i\alpha (\delta \mathcal{A}_1)_{m,n} (\delta \mathcal{A}_2)_{n+1,m-1} - 2i\alpha (\delta \mathcal{A}_1)_{m,n} (\delta \mathcal{A}_2)_{n-1,m+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

By defining the variable

$$X_{m,n} = \begin{pmatrix} (\delta \mathcal{A}_1)_{m,n} \\ (\delta \mathcal{A}_2)_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.13)$$

we find the following expression for (5.10)

$$\tilde{S}_{quadr} = 2\alpha X_{m,n}^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} X_{n,m} + \alpha X_{m,n}^T \begin{pmatrix} -1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} X_{n+1,m-1} + \alpha X_{m,n}^T \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} X_{n-1,m+1}. \quad (5.14)$$

The operator involved in (5.14) is

$$G_{m,n;k,l} = 2\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_{n,k} \delta_{m,l} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} -1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_{n+1,k} \delta_{m,l+1} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_{n,k+1} \delta_{m+1,l}. \quad (5.15)$$

The above solution is a minimum for the action provided (5.15) is a positive operator. This can be shown indeed once it is realised that $G_{m,n;k,l}$ depends actually only on two indices, since the following identity among indices $m+n=k+l$ holds here. It follows that $G_{m,\gamma-m;\gamma-l,l}$ with $\gamma=m+n=k+l$ does not depend on γ and therefore $G_{m,\gamma-m;\gamma-l,l} = G_{m,l}$. Then, one has

$$G_{ml} = 2\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_{m,l} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} -1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_{m,l+1} + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_{m+1,l}. \quad (5.16)$$

This operator can be represented by an infinite-dimensional matrix. Let us set a cut-off N on the dimension of this matrix: $m, l \leq N$.

$$G^{(N)} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 & -i & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & 2 & -i & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\ -1 & i & 2 & 0 & -1 & -i & \dots \\ i & 1 & 0 & 2 & -i & 1 & \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & i & 2 & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & i & 1 & 0 & 2 & \\ & & & \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.17)$$

is now a $2N \times 2N$ matrix, which is diagonalisable. Indeed:

- 2α is a two-fold degenerate eigenvalue, with $(i, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $(0, \dots, 0, -i, 1)$ as associated eigenvectors.
- 0 is a $(N-1)$ -fold degenerate eigenvalue, with $(i, -1, i, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $(0, 0, i, -1, i, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, ..., $(0, \dots, 0, i, -1, i, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, ..., $(0, \dots, 0, i, -1, i, 1)$ as associated eigenvectors.
- 4α is a $(N-1)$ -fold degenerate eigenvalue, with $(-i, 1, i, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $(0, 0, -i, 1, i, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, ..., $(0, \dots, 0, -i, 1, i, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, ..., $(0, \dots, 0, -i, 1, i, 1)$ as associated eigenvectors.

Since $\alpha = -\pi\theta\kappa > 0$, $Z_{mn} = -i\sqrt{-\frac{3\kappa}{4}}\delta_{m+1,n}$, or equivalently

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu(x) = \sqrt{-3\kappa\theta} \left(\frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}}}{\sqrt{z}} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} \sqrt{t} J_1(2\sqrt{tz}) \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m t^m}{m! \sqrt{m+1}} \right) \tilde{x}_\mu, \quad (5.18)$$

is a degenerated minimum of the action (2.9) for $\Omega^2 = \frac{1}{3}$, $\kappa < 0$, and where $z = \frac{2x^2}{\theta}$.

5.3 The case D=4.

The equation (4.30) looks like (4.17), but the non triviality of the coefficients of this linear iterative equation makes it much more difficult to solve. Let us introduce the following auxiliary function

$$y(x) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty v_m x^m, \quad (5.19)$$

since $v_0 = 0$. Then (4.30) is equivalent to

$$((3\Omega^2 - 1)(1 + x^2) + 2(1 + \Omega^2)x)y'(x) + \left(\frac{3\Omega^2 - 1}{x} + 1 + \Omega^2\right)y(x) = 2(3\Omega^2 - 1)v_1 - \frac{2\kappa x}{1 - x} \quad (5.20)$$

This first-order linear differential equation near $x = 0$, can be solved whenever $0 < \Omega^2 < \frac{1}{3}$. Similar considerations apply for $\frac{1}{3} \leq \Omega^2 \leq 1$. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) = & \frac{\sqrt{(1 - 3\Omega^2)(1 + x^2) - 2(1 + \Omega^2)x}}{x} K + \frac{(1 - 3\Omega^2)v_1}{4\Omega^2(1 - \Omega^2)x} (1 - 3\Omega^2 - (1 + \Omega^2)x) \\ & + \frac{\kappa\sqrt{2}}{16\Omega^3 x} \arctan\left(\frac{\Omega\sqrt{2}(1 + x)}{\sqrt{(1 - 3\Omega^2)(1 + x^2) - 2(1 + \Omega^2)x}}\right) \sqrt{(1 - 3\Omega^2)(1 + x^2) - 2(1 + \Omega^2)x} \\ & + \frac{\kappa(1 - 3\Omega^2 + (\Omega^2 - 3)x)}{8\Omega^2(1 - \Omega^2)x}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

where K is a constant. As (v_m) is given by the expansion of the solution (5.21) near $x = 0$, it has to be continuous in $x = 0$. This fixes the value for K . It is given by

$$K = -\frac{\sqrt{1 - 3\Omega^2}}{8\Omega^2(1 - \Omega^2)}(2(1 - 3\Omega^2)v_1 + \kappa) - \frac{\kappa\sqrt{2}}{16\Omega^3} \arctan\left(\frac{\Omega\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{1 - 3\Omega^2}}\right). \quad (5.22)$$

For $0 < \Omega^2 < \frac{1}{3}$, it is possible to write down the general solution for the (v_m) 's. Here we will assume that $\kappa = 0$ for the sake of simplicity. From the relation

$$\sqrt{1 - 2\alpha x + x^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{k! \Gamma(n + 1 - 2k) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - n + k)} (-2\alpha)^{n-2k} \right) x^n, \quad (5.23)$$

we have

$$\forall n \geq 2 \quad v_n = -\frac{(1 - 3\Omega^2)^2 v_1}{4\Omega^2(1 - \Omega^2)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^{n+1-2k} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{k! \Gamma(n + 2 - 2k) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - n + k)} \left(\frac{1 + \Omega^2}{1 - 3\Omega^2}\right)^{n+1-2k}. \quad (5.24)$$

Using now the definition for the hypergeometric function

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a + k) \Gamma(b + k) \Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(c + k)} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \quad (5.25)$$

we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall m \geq 0 \quad v_{m+1} = & \frac{(1 + \Omega^2)^2 v_1}{4\sqrt{\pi}\Omega^2(1 - \Omega^2)} \frac{\Gamma(3/2) \Gamma(m + 3/2)}{\Gamma(m/2 + 3/2) \Gamma(m/2 + 2)} \left(\frac{1 + \Omega^2}{1 - 3\Omega^2}\right)^m \\ & {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{m}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{m}{2} - 1; -m - \frac{1}{2}; \frac{(1 - 3\Omega^2)^2}{(1 + \Omega^2)^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Notice that for $\frac{(1-3\Omega^2)^2}{(1+\Omega^2)^2}$ small enough, this expression is positive if we choose $v_1 \geq 0$. Furthermore, ${}_2F_1\left(-\frac{m}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{m}{2} - 1; -m - \frac{1}{2}; z\right)$ is a polynomial of degree $\lfloor \frac{m+2}{2} \rfloor$ in z , so that:

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= \frac{1 + \Omega^2}{1 - 3\Omega^2} v_1 \\ v_3 &= \frac{(1 + 4\Omega^2 - 4\Omega^4)}{(1 - 3\Omega^2)^2} v_1 \\ v_4 &= \frac{(1 + \Omega^2)(1 + 8\Omega^2 - 5\Omega^4)}{(1 - 3\Omega^2)^3} v_1 \\ v_5 &= \frac{(1 + 16\Omega^2 + 26\Omega^4 - 24\Omega^6 - 3\Omega^8)}{(1 - 3\Omega^2)^4} v_1 \\ v_6 &= \frac{(1 + \Omega^2)(1 + 24\Omega^2 + 66\Omega^4 - 96\Omega^6 + 21\Omega^8)}{(1 - 3\Omega^2)^5} v_1, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

Notice also that using (5.21), the commutative limit for $D = 4$ can be obtained in a way similar to what has been done for the 2-dimensional case. The solution (v_m) is indeed continuous in $\Omega = 0$ for a well-chosen coefficient $v_1 = \frac{1}{\theta} + O(\Omega)$ and with $\kappa = O(\Omega)$. It can be realized that the sequence given by (5.26) is divergent since it behaves like an exponential so that it does not belong to the Moyal algebra. However, as in the two-dimensional case, it is possible to calculate in (5.21) the contribution for $\kappa \neq 0$ and to set to zero the coefficient of the divergent part for the solution (v_m) , so that the resulting vacuum will again belong to the Moyal algebra with suitable asymptotic behaviour.

In the general case, for $\Omega^2 \in [0, 1]$ and $\kappa \neq 0$, we can express the general solution in the configuration space.

$$Z_1(x) = -i \sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{m_1 + 1} a_{m_1, m_2} f_{m_1, m_1+1}(x_1, x_2) f_{m_2, m_2}(x_3, x_4), \quad (5.28)$$

where $a_{m_1, m_2} = e^{i\xi_m} \sqrt{v_{m+1}}$, $m = m_1 + m_2$ and $\xi_m \in \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary phase. Using the polar coordinates (4.23) and the expression (2.12), one has

$$Z_1(x) = -4i \sqrt{\frac{2}{\theta}} r_1 e^{i\varphi_1} e^{-\frac{r^2}{\theta}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^m e^{i\xi_m} (-1)^m \sqrt{v_{m+1}} L_{m_1}^1\left(\frac{2r_1^2}{\theta}\right) L_{m-m_1}^0\left(\frac{2r_2^2}{\theta}\right). \quad (5.29)$$

Since the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^m L_k^\alpha(x) L_{m-k}^\beta(y) = L_m^{\alpha+\beta+1}(x+y) \quad (5.30)$$

is verified and with (5.7), we find

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1(x) &= 4 \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}}}{z} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} J_2(2\sqrt{t}z) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \sqrt{v_{m+1}} t^{m+1} ((\tilde{x}_1 + i\tilde{x}_2) \cos(\xi_m) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\theta} (x_1 + ix_2) \sin(\xi_m)), \\ Z_2(x) &= 4 \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}} \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}}}{z} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} J_2(2\sqrt{t}z) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \sqrt{v_{m+1}} t^{m+1} ((\tilde{x}_3 + i\tilde{x}_4) \cos(\xi_m) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\theta} (x_3 + ix_4) \sin(\xi_m)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

where $z = \frac{2x^2}{\theta}$, v_{m+1} is determined above, and $Z_2(x)$ is computed in the same way. The vacuum of the covariant coordinates can therefore be written

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu(x) = 2\sqrt{2\theta} \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}}}{z} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} J_2(2\sqrt{tz}) \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \sqrt{v_{m+1}} t^{m+1} (\tilde{x}_\mu \cos(\xi_m) + \frac{2}{\theta} x_\mu \sin(\xi_m)). \quad (5.32)$$

6 Discussion.

Recent attempts to extend the harmonic solution proposed in [15] to the case of gauge theories defined on Moyal spaces have singled out a class of gauge theory models generically described by the action given in (1.2) for which the gauge potential has a non vanishing expectation value signaling therefore a non trivial vacuum. In this paper, we have performed a detailed study of the corresponding vacuum states, focussing on those configurations that are invariant under both rotation and symplectic isomorphisms, i.e invariant under $G_D = SO(D) \cap Sp(D)$ which is a symmetry group for the action, as discussed in the section 3. Recall that the explicit determination of these vacua is a necessary step to be reached before the study of its renormalisability can be undertaken since a reliable perturbative analysis in the present situation can only be defined after the action is expanded around the non trivial vacuum. The use of the matrix base for both $D = 2$ and $D = 4$ dimensions proved very convenient when solving the relevant equations of motion in order to obtain rather tractable expressions, written first in the matrix base and turned back to the position space when necessary. Notice that the technical machinery we set-up in the sections 4 and 5 of this paper provides, as a byproduct, a rather simple algorithm to solve equation of motion that involve Moyal product together with (star)-polynomial interactions.

As the main result of this paper, we have found that the vacuum configurations in the respectively $D = 2$ and $D = 4$ -dimensional position space are generically given

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu^{2D}(x) = 2\sqrt{\theta} \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}}}{\sqrt{z}} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} \sqrt{t} J_1(2\sqrt{tz}) \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m \sqrt{u_{m+1}}}{m! \sqrt{m+1}} t^m \left(\tilde{x}_\mu \cos(\xi_m) + \frac{2}{\theta} x_\mu \sin(\xi_m) \right), \quad (6.1)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu^{4D}(x) = 2\sqrt{2\theta} \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}}}{z} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} t J_2(2\sqrt{tz}) \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m}{m!} \sqrt{v_{m+1}} t^m \left(\tilde{x}_\mu \cos(\xi_m) + \frac{2}{\theta} x_\mu \sin(\xi_m) \right), \quad (6.2)$$

where ξ_m , (u_m) and (v_m) have been defined in subsections 5.1 and 5.3 and $z = \frac{2x^2}{\theta}$. Note that these solutions do not correspond to the whole set of G_D -invariant solutions for the equation of motion. Indeed, we have made in section 4 the assumption $(\mathfrak{H})$ that the coefficients a_m and a_{m_1, m_2} are non zero. Let us now discuss this assumption. In fact, it is tempting to conjecture that requiring hypothesis $(\mathfrak{H})$ permits one to select only the minima of the action among all the solutions of the equation of motion. This is somewhat supported by the scalar case studied in [39] for which the equation of motion (again a Moyal cubic equation) bears some similarity with the one considered in this paper. In that scalar case, it has been shown that the minima are obtained for a maximal use of assumption $(\mathfrak{H})$. Moreover, this is also verified for the two special cases $\Omega = 0$ and $\Omega^2 = \frac{1}{3}$. Indeed, the solution for $\Omega = 0$ corresponds to the usual vacuum $A_\mu = 0$, which is of course a minimum of the action, while the case $\Omega^2 = \frac{1}{3}$ in two dimensions has been treated in the subsection 5.2. This unfortunately is much more difficult to verify when Ω is arbitrary because the operator involved in the quadratic part of the action expanded around the vacuum depends then on four indices so that diagonalisation is very difficult (see subsection 5.2). Inclusion of ghost terms into the action stemming from some further gauge fixing might

well improve this situation as it can be realized by inspection of the relevant expressions in the matrix base. This would remain to be investigated. In any cases, if the above conjecture was not verified, it is easy to obtain the coefficients a_m and a_{m_1, m_2} of all the solutions of the equation of motion in the matrix base from a rather straightforward adaptation of the results of the section 5. Notice however that this produces a huge number of possible solutions. This will not be considered in the present paper.

Let us finally focus on another special case: $\Omega = 1$ and $\kappa < 0$. The equation of motion (4.2) simplifies into

$$2\mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu + 2\mathcal{A}_\nu \star \mathcal{A}_\nu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu + 2\kappa \mathcal{A}_\mu = 0, \quad (6.3)$$

which can be reexpressed in term of the gauge invariant condensate $C(x) = (\mathcal{A}_\mu \star \mathcal{A}_\mu)(x)$ as

$$\{\mathcal{A}_\mu, 2C + \kappa\}_\star = 0. \quad (6.4)$$

It is obvious that the constant condensate

$$C(x) = -\frac{\kappa}{2} \quad (6.5)$$

satisfies this equation of motion. The solutions found in the section 5 by requiring the assumption (5) are

- $u_0 = 0$, $u_{2k} = -\frac{\kappa}{2}$ and $u_{2k+1} = 0$, in two dimensions,
- $v_0 = 0$, $v_{2k} = v_{2k+1} = -\frac{\kappa}{8k+4}$, in four dimensions,

and all these solutions are of constant condensate type (6.5).

A Appendix

In this appendix, we will prove recurrently that the coefficients a_{m_1, m_2} depend only on $m = m_1 + m_2$ for all $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. We have assumed that $a_{m_1, m_2} \neq 0$. Define $b_0 = a_{0,0}$. Now suppose that for a certain $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\forall k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $k_1 + k_2 \leq m$, a_{k_1, k_2} depends only on $k_1 + k_2$ and we write $a_{k_1, k_2} = b_{k_1 + k_2}$. Set also m_1, m_2 so that $m_1 + m_2 = m$. Let us prove that $a_{m_1+1, m_2} = a_{m_1, m_2+1}$.

As $a_{m_1, m_2} = a_{m_1+1, m_2-1} = b_m$ and $a_{m_1-1, m_2} = a_{m_1, m_2-1} = b_{m-1}$, equations (4.29) can then be reexpressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((3\Omega^2 - 1)m|b_{m-1}|^2 + (1 + \Omega^2)(2m + 3)|b_m|^2 + 2\kappa + ((3\Omega^2 - 1)(m_1 + 2) \right. \\ & \left. + (1 + \Omega^2)(m_2 + 1))|a_{m_1+1, m_2}|^2 - 2(1 - \Omega^2)(m_2 + 1)\bar{a}_{m_1+1, m_2}a_{m_1, m_2+1} \right) b_m = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (A.1a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((3\Omega^2 - 1)m|b_{m-1}|^2 + (1 + \Omega^2)(2m + 3)|b_m|^2 + 2\kappa + ((3\Omega^2 - 1)(m_2 + 2) \right. \\ & \left. + (1 + \Omega^2)(m_1 + 1))|a_{m_1, m_2+1}|^2 - 2(1 - \Omega^2)(m_1 + 1)\bar{a}_{m_1+1, m_2}a_{m_1, m_2+1} \right) \bar{b}_m = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (A.1b)$$

If we do the transformation $m_1 \rightarrow m_1 + 1$, $m_2 \rightarrow m_2 - 1$ in (A.1b) and $m_1 \rightarrow m_1 - 1$, $m_2 \rightarrow m_2 + 1$ in (A.1a), and simplify by $b_m \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (3\Omega^2 - 1)m|b_{m-1}|^2 + (1 + \Omega^2)(2m + 3)|b_m|^2 + 2\kappa + ((3\Omega^2 - 1)(m_2 + 1) \\ & + (1 + \Omega^2)(m_1 + 2))|a_{m_1+1, m_2}|^2 - 2(1 - \Omega^2)(m_1 + 2)\bar{a}_{m_1+1, m_2}a_{m_1, m_2+1} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (A.2a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (3\Omega^2 - 1)m|b_{m-1}|^2 + (1 + \Omega^2)(2m + 3)|b_m|^2 + 2\kappa + ((3\Omega^2 - 1)(m_1 + 1) \\ & + (1 + \Omega^2)(m_2 + 2))|a_{m_1, m_2+1}|^2 - 2(1 - \Omega^2)(m_2 + 2)\bar{a}_{m_1+1, m_2}a_{m_1, m_2+1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (A.2b)$$

Then, simplification by b_m in (A.1a) and addition by (A.2a) give rise to the following equation

$$2(3\Omega^2 - 1)m|b_{m-1}|^2 + 2(1 + \Omega^2)(2m + 3)|b_m|^2 + 4\kappa + 4\Omega^2(m + 3)|a_{m_1+1, m_2}|^2 - 2(1 - \Omega^2)(m + 3)\bar{a}_{m_1+1, m_2}a_{m_1, m_2+1} = 0. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

In the same way, with (A.1b) and (A.2b), we obtain

$$2(3\Omega^2 - 1)m|b_{m-1}|^2 + 2(1 + \Omega^2)(2m + 3)|b_m|^2 + 4\kappa + 4\Omega^2(m + 3)|a_{m_1, m_2+1}|^2 - 2(1 - \Omega^2)(m + 3)\bar{a}_{m_1+1, m_2}a_{m_1, m_2+1} = 0. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The comparison of (A.3) and (A.4) gives

$$|a_{m_1+1, m_2}|^2 = |a_{m_1, m_2+1}|^2. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

By subtracting (A.1a) by (A.2b) and using (A.5), we find

$$-2(1 - \Omega^2)|a_{m_1+1, m_2}|^2 + 2(1 - \Omega^2)\bar{a}_{m_1+1, m_2}a_{m_1, m_2+1} = 0, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and this the aim of the proof:

$$a_{m_1+1, m_2} = a_{m_1, m_2+1}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to H. Grosse and T. Masson for interesting discussions at various stages of this work. One of us (JCW) gratefully acknowledges partial support from the Austrian Federal Ministry of Science and Research, the High Energy Physics Institute of the Austrian Academy of Sciences and the Erwin Schrödinger International Institute of Mathematical Physics

References

- [1] M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, “Noncommutative field theory,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73** (2001) 977 [arXiv:hep-th/0106048].
- [2] R. J. Szabo, “Quantum field theory on noncommutative spaces,” *Phys. Rept.* **378**, 207 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0109162].
- [3] R. Wulkenhaar, “Field Theories On Deformed Spaces,” *J. Geom. Phys.* **56** (2006) 108.
- [4] A. Connes, “Noncommutative Geometry,” Academic Press Inc., San Diego (1994), available at <http://www.alainconnes.org/downloads.html>.
- [5] A. Connes and M. Marcolli, “A walk in the noncommutative garden,” (2006), available at <http://www.alainconnes.org/downloads.html>.
- [6] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “String theory and noncommutative geometry,” *JHEP* **9909** (1999) 032 [arXiv:hep-th/9908142].
- [7] V. Schomerus, *JHEP* **9906**, 030 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9903205].
- [8] J. M. Gracia-Bondía and J. C. Várilly, “Algebras of distributions suitable for phase space quantum mechanics. I,” *J. Math. Phys.* **29** (1988) 869.
- [9] J. C. Várilly and J. M. Gracia-Bondía, “Algebras of distributions suitable for phase-space quantum mechanics. II. Topologies on the Moyal algebra,” *J. Math. Phys.* **29** (1988) 880.
- [10] L. Susskind, “The Quantum Hall Fluid and Non-Commutative Chern Simons Theory,” [arXiv:hep-th/0101029].
- [11] S. Hellerman, M. Van Raamsdonk, “Quantum Hall physics equals noncommutative field theory,” *JHEP* **10**, 39-51 (2001).

- [12] S. Minwalla, M. Van Raamsdonk and N. Seiberg, “Noncommutative perturbative dynamics,” JHEP **0002** (2000) 020 [arXiv:hep-th/9912072].
- [13] I. Chepelev and R. Roiban, “Renormalization of quantum field theories on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^d$. I: Scalars,” JHEP **0005** (2000) 037 [arXiv:hep-th/9911098].
- [14] A. Matusis, L. Susskind and N. Toumbas, “The IR/UV connection in the non-commutative gauge theories,” JHEP **0012** (2000) 002 [arXiv:hep-th/0002075].
- [15] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, “Renormalisation of ϕ^4 -theory on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^4$ in the matrix base,” Commun. Math. Phys. **256** (2005) 305 [arXiv:hep-th/0401128].
- [16] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, “Power-counting theorem for non-local matrix models and renormalisation,” Commun. Math. Phys. **254** (2005) 91 [arXiv:hep-th/0305066].
- [17] V. Rivasseau, F. Vignes-Tourneret and R. Wulkenhaar, “Renormalization of non-commutative ϕ^4 -theory by multi-scale analysis,” Commun. Math. Phys. **262** (2006) 565-594 [arXiv:hep-th/0501036].
- [18] R. Gurau, J. Magnen, V. Rivasseau and F. Vignes-Tourneret, “Renormalization of non-commutative ϕ^4 field theory in x space,” Commun. Math. Phys. **267** (2006) 515 [arXiv:hep-th/0512271].
- [19] R. Gurau and A. Tanasa, “Dimensional regularization and renormalization of non-commutative QFT,” arXiv:0706.1147 [math-ph].
- [20] V. Rivasseau, “Non-commutative renormalization,” arXiv:0705.0705 [hep-th].
- [21] J. C. Wallet, “Noncommutative Induced Gauge Theories on Moyal Spaces,” Journ. Phys.: Conf. Series (2008) to appear, arXiv:0708.2471 [hep-th].
- [22] E. Langmann and R. J. Szabo, “Duality in scalar field theory on noncommutative phase spaces,” Phys. Lett. B **533** (2002) 168 [arXiv:hep-th/0202039].
- [23] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, “Renormalisation of ϕ^4 -theory on noncommutative $\mathbb{R}^2$ in the matrix base,” JHEP **0312** (2003) 019 [arXiv:hep-th/0307017].
- [24] E. Langmann, R. J. Szabo and K. Zarembo, “Exact solution of quantum field theory on noncommutative phase spaces,” JHEP **0401** (2004) 017 [arXiv:hep-th/0308043].
- [25] E. Langmann, R. J. Szabo and K. Zarembo, “Exact solution of noncommutative field theory in background magnetic fields,” Phys. Lett. B **569** (2003) 95 [arXiv:hep-th/0303082].
- [26] F. Vignes-Tourneret, “Renormalization of the orientable non-commutative Gross-Neveu model,” Ann. H. Poincaré **8** (2007) 427. [arXiv:math-ph/0606069].
- [27] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, “The beta-function in duality-covariant noncommutative ϕ^4 theory,” Eur. Phys. J. C **35**, 277 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0402093].
- [28] A. Lakhoua, F. Vignes-Tourneret and J. C. Wallet, “One-loop beta functions for the orientable non-commutative Gross-Neveu model,” Eur. Phys. J. C **52**, 735 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701170].
- [29] M. Disertori and V. Rivasseau, “Two and three loops beta function of non commutative $\phi(4)^4$ theory,” Eur. Phys. J. C **50**, 661 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0610224].
- [30] M. Disertori, R. Gurau, J. Magnen and V. Rivasseau, “Vanishing of beta function of non commutative $\phi(4)^4$ theory to all orders,” Phys. Lett. B **649**, 95 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0612251].
- [31] R. Gurau, J. Magnen, V. Rivasseau, A. Tanasa, ”A translation-invariant renormalizable non-commutative scalar model”, arXiv:0802.0791 [hep-th]
- [32] A. de Goursac, J. C. Wallet and R. Wulkenhaar, “Noncommutative induced gauge theory,” Eur. Phys. J. C **51**, 977 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703075].
- [33] H. Grosse and M. Wohlgenannt, “Induced Gauge Theory on a Noncommutative Space,” Eur. Phys. J. C **52**, 435 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703169].
- [34] A. de Goursac, “On the Effective Action of Noncommutative Yang-Mills Theory,” to appear in Journ. of Physics: Conf. Series, arXiv:0710.1162 [hep-th].
- [35] H. Grosse and M. Wohlgenannt, J. Phys.:Conf. Ser. **53**, 764 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0607208].
- [36] M. Dubois-Violette, R. Kerner and J. Madore, “Noncommutative Differential Geometry and New Models of Gauge Theory,” J. Math. Phys. **31** (1990) 323.
- [37] T. Masson, “On the noncommutative geometry of the endomorphism algebra of a vector bundle,” J. Geom. Phys. **31** (1999) 142.

- [38] H. Grosse and R. Wulkenhaar, “8D-spectral triple on 4D-Moyal space and the vacuum of noncommutative gauge theory,” arXiv:0709.0095 [hep-th].
- [39] A. de Goursac, A. Tanasa and J. C. Wallet, “Vacuum configurations for renormalizable noncommutative scalar models,” Eur. Phys. J. C **53**, 459 (2008) arXiv:0709.3950 [hep-th].
- [40] H. Weyl, “The classical groups,” Princeton University Press (1946).